BEFORE THE
POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
STATE OF WASHINGTON

1 2 3 4 5	IN THE MATTER OF COLUMBUS CLUB, INC., Appellant, vs. PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY,)) PCHB No. 488) FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER)
6	Respondent.)))

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 14th day of March, 1974, at Tacoma, Washington; and appellant Columbus Club, Inc. appearing through Lloyd Beaulaurier and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being W. A. Gissberg (presiding) and Mary Ellen McCaffree; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and arguments of the parties and having entered on the 21st day of March, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having

served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties 2 herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having 3 elapsed from baid service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 5 now therefore. 6 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 21st day of 8 March, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 9 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 10 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 11 DONE at Lacey, Washington this 12 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 13 14 15 GISSBERG, Member 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

24

25

26

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

1 2	IN THE MATTER OF COLUMBUS CLUB, INC., Appellant,)))) PCHB No. 488
3 4 5	vs. PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY,	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
6	Respondent.)))

This matter, an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (W. A. Gissberg, presiding officer and Mary Ellen McCaffree) at a formal hearing in the hearing room of the Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals, 1502 Tacoma Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington at 11:00 a.m. on March 14, 1974.

Appellant was represented by Lloyd Beaulaurier; respondent appeared through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Eugene Barker, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. Arguments were made.

EXHIBIT A

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and arguments considered, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

At about 1:00 p.m. on November 19, 1973, from the red brick chimney of the Knights of Columbus Building, 2315-6th Avenue, Tacoma, Pierce County, Washington, there was emitted for at least six consecutive minutes black smoke in the shade of No. 5 on the Ringelmann Chart.

II.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant for more than three minutes in any one hour of a shade darker than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart.

III.

An inspector on respondent's staff, after observing the above-described emission, issued Notice of Violation No. 8240 to appellant. Subsequently and in connection therewith, appellant was served with Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1274 in the amount of \$50.00 being one-fifth of the maximum amount which respondent may invoke for a violation of its Regulation I. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

Immediately upon being notified of the alleged violation, appellant shut down the furnace and called a repairman. The furnace is antiquated and it is planned that it will be replaced within the FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

1 hext three to four months. In the meantime, the appellant has engaged a repairman to check the furnace every two weeks and has vacated the living quarters in the building in order not to run the furnace 3 constantly. 4 v. Б Respondent recommended that the civil penalty be suspended on 6 the condition that no other violations occur within six months of the date of this Order. 8 From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes 9 to these 10 CONCLUSIONS 11 12 T. Appellant was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as cited 13 in Notice of Violation No. 8240. 14 II. 15 Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1274 is reasonable and lenient, but 16 in view of appellant's correction of the problem and on the recommendation of the respondent, further clemency is indicated. 18 Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this 19 20 ORDER The appeal is denied, but the \$50.00 civil penalty is suspended 21 upon the condition that appellant not violate respondent's Regulation 22 23 I for six months from the date of this Order. 24 25 26 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 3

8 F No 9725-A-

AND ORDER

	.
1	DATED this 21st day of Much, 1974.
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	2/4(6.1)
4	W A CICCOURCE Morrhor
5	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
6	man Eller Tro Cillan
7	MARY ELLEN McCAFFREE, Member
8	· `
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	FINDINGS OF FACT,
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER 4

5 F No 1971-A